A Scrutiny On Congestion Control Algorithms

Immanuel Gem, Arul Xavier

SYNOPSIS: - Wireless Sensor network is the keynote to meet the evidence indispensable by smart surroundings, whether in buildings, niftiness, engineering, domicile, shipboard, transportation systems automation, or elsewhere. Contemporary terrorist and guerrilla conflict countermeasures entail strewn networks of sensors that can be deployed and have self-organizing proficiency. In such applications, scuttle wires or cabling is generally not viable. A feeler set-up is essential that is swift and trouble-free to install and sustain. The imperative concern in wireless sensor scheme is the broadcast of messages to pull off an agreed message data and Quality of Services. QoS can be precise in terms of message delay, message due dates, bit blunder rates, packet crash, economic cost of transmission, broadcast power, etc. In this paper we surf through some of the congestion alleviation algorithm that assist the network in training the quality of services and the techniques that's been used assuage congestion

INDEX TERMS: - sensor networks, limiting rate, potential field, performance, traffic aware, overheads.

1. PREFACE

Network congestion happen when a link or node carries so much of data that their quality of service goes downhill which upshots queuing delay, packet loss and hindering of new connections. At this stint we routine a congestion control and congestion avoidance modus to shun congestion collapse. At hand various protocols which is been in routine to dodge and restrain congestion which routine an assortment of congestion control algorithm that hinge on the mode of congestion such as the loss, fairness criteria and so on. One of the foremost practices for restraining congestion is routing the packets using various techniques such as

- Limiting the sending rate
- Light weight buffer management
- By passing the hotspot area
- Dynamic routing using bias
- Dynamic routing using steepest gradient method

2. LIMITING THE SENDING RATE

The control technique which is used to send or receive data on a network is called rate limiting Traffic exceeds the fixed rate is been dropped or delayed using various algorithms which is been described below. The energy restrictions of the sensor nodes, the reduced computational ability, the need for low cost design and the simplified hardware assumptions necessitate re-visiting the classical protocol stack used in networking cause the sending rate to be reduced. In this we discern cross-layer techniques in protocol design, flaw control and packet size optimization. Some of the algorithm which assists in limiting the buffer rate is coda

- Immanuel Gem, Arul Xavier
- PG Scholar, Department of CSE, School of Computer Science & Technology, Karunya University, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641114, INDIA
- Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, School of Computer Science & Technology, Karunya University, Karunya Nagar ,Coimbatore , Tamil Nadu-641114, INDIA Email: gem381@gmail.com, arul_vmax@karunya.edu.in

2.1 CODA ALGORITHM

CODA is one among the congestion detection algorithm which limits the sending rate when there occurs congestion follows three mechanisms such as congestion detection, open loop hop-by-hop back pressure to reach the destination.

- Congestion detection. To reckon accurate congestion detection at each receiver node at low cost this technique uses the loading conditions of both present and past channels including the current buffer occupancy. Since the transmission medium is shared sensor networks must know the state of the channel. Measuring the local loadings by Listening to the local channel if performed all the time incurs high energy costs. A random sample strategy is used that activates local channel monitoring at the appropriate time to minimize cost. Nodes signal their upstream neighbours after the congestion is been detected via the mechanism called back pressure.
- Open-loop, hop-by-hop backpressure. A back pressure message will be broadcasted by a node as long as it detects congestion. These backpressure signals are propagated towards the source in upstream. Backpressure will propagate directly to the sources in case of impulse data events in dense networks. Based on the local congestion policy the nodes that receive backpressure signals throttle their sending rates or drop packets.
- Closed-loop, multi-source regulation. In the event of persistent congestion this mechanism is capable of alleging congestion over multiple sources from a single sink which works over a slower time scale. The source regulates itself when the source rate of the event is less than the maximum through put of the channel. Obstructed circle jamming regulator is incited when this value is exceeds and the source enters the sink regulation. To maintain its rate a slow time scale feedback is obtained which is required by the source.

2.2 FUSION ALGORITHM

Fusion uses three congestion control procedure such as hop-by-hop stream control, restraining the source rate, prioritized Mac layer which has an antagonistic upshot of network congestion which operates under recital can be

alleviated. By way of back pressure the node signals congestion to each other node which reduces the packet loss and lavish packet transmission that are to be obsolete at the node which is downstream seems to be the first technique. Now the source rate is limited to assuage the vital unfairness towards source that have to crisscross a grander number of wireless hops At the lower deck is the prioritized Mac layer amid backlogged and non-backlogged nodes for access to the shared medium and so the buffer drops can be avoided. All these above decks are pooled together forms a stratagem called fusion which perk up network competence, fairness, and channel loss rates.

2.3 ESRT ALGORTHIM

To accomplish potential gain such as superior accuracy, hefty coverage area steadfast transport mechanism is required in addition to the robust modulation and media access fault tolerating and error control in link areas. A solution to achieve reliable event detection with minimum energy expenditure is ESRT which requires a minimum functionality at the resource constrained sensor nodes. To achieve reliability and conserved energy a dual purpose congestion control component is included and most important thing to consider is the ESTR algorithm mainly run on the sink. In order to influence the target reliability beforehand the ESRT fine-tune the reporting frequency of source nodes when the reliability is worse than the vital and trim-down the reporting frequency if the reliability is higher in turn to sustain energy. Thus the self configuring ilk of ESRT makes it stout to random, dynamic topology in wireless networks. Reasoned recital estimation and simulation upshot shows that the ESRT congregates the sought after reliability with lowest energy outlays found from any state networks.

3. LIGHT WEIGHT BUFFER MANAGEMENT

Energy supply is one of the foremost parameter to think about in wireless sensor networks. When a perilous event spark a gush of data congestion may ensue as data packets which causes waste of energy, reduction in throughput and also loss in the information. Based on light weight buffer management we can prevent data packets from spilling over the buffer space which instinctively amend the sensor onward rate without causing congestion.

3.1 MAC PROTOCOL

Averting congestion from occurrence is said to be congestion avoidance. When an area is solidly populated with sensors resulting recurrent radio collision where scores of sensors shot to shoot in chorus which results in unique congestion. Random back off and virtual carrier sensing are the habitual way outs. These habitual way outs can trim down the chance of radio collision. When a packet converges towards sink buffer based congestion will happen. The node handy to the sink will have multiple upstream sensors which it forward packets than being sent out where excessive packets in due course result in buffer overflow. Congestion in these cases result in many glitch such as the energy spent is been wasted when a packet is obsolete.

4. BYPASSING TRAFFIC HOTSPOT AREA

A locale where virtual reality is made visibly existing is so called hotspot which is been often found in locales such as airports, hotels, coffee shops and in places where business folks tend to gather. For business rovers and other recurrent users these hotspots are arguably a prized productivity. Bypassing these hotspots area can reduce congestion which can be achieved using various protocols.

4.1 SPEED PROTOCOL

To sustain networks and look over conveyance speed across sensor networks at networking layer and MAC layer by redirecting the traffic and adjusting packet sent to MAC layer we uses a protocol called named as SPEED. Using response mechanism and stateless algorithms Speed protocol hold up soft communication in real-time boundaries. Key function of sensor networks is delivery of data's in three sort of communication prototypes such as unicast, area multi cast and area any cast .To accomplish scalability all distributed progression are confined which is said to be confined behavior and the routes between source and destination are also discovered which is been called as greedy routes also the distance between source and destination is relational to the end to end delay. Overall speed pledge a preferred conveyance speed crossways the network.

4.2 SIPHON PROTOCOL

Siphon is one the finest traffic management method in which the in effect untrained node of any dimension can drive only under lightly loaded workloads which encounter sky-scraping packet loss and enduring congestion. In siphon we uses a method called funnelling effect which selectively gives out some multi virtual skin to siphon off events. At sink the traffic intensity, congestion and packet loss are measured which reduces application loyalty. Thus this helps the sensors which are nearest to the sink to use energy at a fastest rate. A secondary ad which rooted at the physical sink along with the long radio interface which moves the selected traffic off the networks by using the secondary radio network .Thus in this protocol we use a secondary radio network to siphon off the actions which satisfies the improved congestion control.

5. DYNAMIC ROUTING USING BIAS

In dynamic routing using bias the position of the remote resources is decided at run time where the user routing program will take the decision about the resources. Route request for routing program may differ at times to different regions. The routing program can balance several replica owing regions dynamically. Dealings which is been started from terminals, invoked transaction by a subset of commands, link which is been received can be routed with passion.

5.1 Multipath Routing Method (RCE)

In RCE protocol congestion is been alleviated using the multipath option where mobile and immobile nodes were used in case to trim down the jamming. Here the source is been authorized to opt for diverse channel towards destination in order to augment geographic steering. To pull off multiple paths in general the prevailing techniques are bungling in way point routing or much weighted which is

been trounced by a steering method called biased geographical steering with an algorithm called geographical forwarding. Instead of forwarding the packets to the destination this algorithm steer the packets towards one side by steering the packets on curve linear route. In network packet scatter and end to end packet scatter are the two lightweight mechanism designed to mitigate jamming. For increased throughput this multipath steering seems to be doable way out which have some confident impressions such as good performance and fewer thrashing in efficiency.

6. DYNAMIC ROUTING USING STEEPEST GRADINET METHOD

Existing congestion control procedures alleviate overcrowding by dipping the rate of source nodes been inoculated in to the linkage. In dynamic routing using steepest gradient method whenever congestion happens the generated alarm activates the TADR algorithm which in case will find the mobile and immobile nodes which is nearest to the sink and the data is been transferred through the founded path. The TADR algorithm construct a potential filed for finding the steepest gradient path. Then again this TADR system induces the data level which is required. This TADR algorithm supports an additional feature called trustworthiness including the network capacity which is not present in other routing algorithms. The basis of TADR procedure is this put up two independent potential fields by means of the depth and queue length.

6.1 Comparison Based on Routing Techniques

TECHNIQUES	ALGORITHMS	MERITS	DEMERITS
LIMITING SENDING RATE	CODA	GOOD ACCURACY,LARGE COVERAGE AREA	CONTINIOOUS MONITORING ON PARENT NODE,CONSUMES MORE ENERGY,TOO COSTLY
	FUSION		
	ESRT		
LIGHT WEIGHT BUFFER MANAGEMENT	MAC PROTOCOL	NO BUFFER OVERFLOW,GOOD THROUGHPUT	TOO COSTLY, LOSS OF DATA, CONSUMES MORE TIME AND POWER.
BYPASSING TRAFFIC HOTSPOT AREA	SPEED	MORE EFFICIENT, CONSUMES LESS ENERGY.	BUFFER OVERFLOW, THROUGHPUT DELAY
	SIPHON		
DYNAMIC ROUTING USING BIAS		FAIR THROUGHPUT,NO PACKET LOSS	LESS IN ENERGY SAVING
DYNAMIC ROUTING USING STEEPEST GRADIENT	TADR	GOOD THROUGHPUT,LESS ENERGY CONSMPTION	BIT COSTLY

CONCLUSION

various routing techniques and the routing protocol which they use to route the packets when in need has been surveyed which has the common objective of not compromising the data delivery .Even though many techniques promises a good data delivery still has some backlogs to be worked on such as cost etc..This can be done in feature work.

REFERENCES

[1]. Basu.A, Lin.A, and Ramanathan.S(2003),"Routing Using Potentials DynamicTraffic-Aware Routing Algorithm," Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, pp. 37-48.

[2]. Chen.S and Yang.N(2006),"Congestion Avoidance Based on Lightweight Buffer Management in Sensor Networks," IEEE Trans. Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 934-946.

[3]. Ee.C and Bajcsy.R(2004), "Congestion Control and Fairness for Many to One Routing in Sensor

Networks," Proc. ACM Int'l Conf. Embedded Networked Sensor Systems .

[4]. He .T, Stankovic .J, Lu. C, and Abdelzaher .T(2003),"SPEED: A Stateless Protocol for Real-Time Communication in Sensor Networks, "Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Distributed Computing Systems.

[5]. Hull .B, Jamieson .K, and Balakrishnan .H (2004), "Mitigating Congestion in Wireless Sensor Networks," Proc. Int'l Conf. Embedded Networked Sensor Systems.

[6]. Jain. R (1995),"Congestion Control and Traffic Management in ATM Networks: Recent Advances and a Survey," Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, vol. 28, no. 13, pp. 1723-1738.

[7]. Kang. J, Nath. B, Zhang. Y and Yu. S(2004), "Adaptive Resource Control Scheme to Alleviate Congestion in Sensor Networks," Proc. First Workshop Broadband Advanced Sensor Networks.

[8]. Kumar.R, R. Crepaldi.R, Rowaihy.H(June 2008), "Mitigating Performance Degradation in Congested Sensor Networks," IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 682-697.

[9]. Paek.J and Govindan.R((SenSys '07)2007), "RCRT: Rate-Controlled Reliable Transport for Wireless Sensor Networks," Proc. ACM Int'l Conf. Embedded Networked Sensor Systems.

[10]. Papadoulos.A and MccannJ.A(2004), "Towards the Design of an Energy-Efficient, Location-Aware Routing Protocol for Mobile, Ad-Hoc Sensor Networks," Proc. 15th Int'l Workshop Database and Expert Systems Applications, pp. 705-709.

[11]. Patwari.N, Perkins.M, Correal.N(Aug. 2003), "Relative Location Estimation in Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 2137-2148.

[12]. Pham P.P and Perreau.P(2003), "Performance Analysis of Reactive Shortest Path and Multipath Routing Mechanism with Load Balance," Proc. IEEE INFOCOM.

[13]. Popa.L, Raiciu.C, Stoica.I, and Rosenblum. D.S((ICNP'06).2006), "Reducing Congestion Effects in Wireless Networks by Multipath Routing," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Network Protocols .

[14]. Rangwala.S, Gummadi.R, Govindan.R, and Psounis.K(2006), "Interference-Aware Fair Rate Control in Wireless Sensor Networks," Proc. ACM SIGCOMM, pp. 63-74.

[15]. Sankarasubramaniam. Y, Akan. O, and Akyildiz. I ((MobiHoc '03), 2003), "ESRT: Eventto- Sink Reliable Transport in Wireless Sensor Networks," Proc. ACM Int'l Symp. Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing.

[16]. Teo.J, Ha.Y, and Tham.C(Sept. 2008), "Interference-Minimized Multipath Routing with Congestion Control in Wireless Sensor Network for High-Rate Streaming," IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 1124-1137.

[17]. Wan. C, Eisenman. S, Campbell. A, and Crowcroft. J (SenSys 2005), "Siphon: Over-Load Traffic Management Using Multi-Radio Virtual Sinks in Sensor Networks," Proc. ACM Int'l Conf. Embedded Networked Sensor Systems, pp. 116-129.

[18]. Wan C. Y, Eisenman. S. B, and. Campbell. A. T (2003), "CODA: Congestion Detection and Avoidance in Sensor Networks," Proc. ACM Int'l Conf. Embedded sNetworked Sensor Systems (SenSys '03), pp. 266-279.

[19]. Yaghmaee.M.H and D.A. Adjeroh.D.A(2009), "Priority-Based Rate Control for Service Differentiation and Congestion Control in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks," Computer Networks, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 1798-1811.

Immanuel gem A. J completed B.E in computer science and engineering from JACSI college of Engineering, Anna University, Chennai. Currently pursing Masters in Software Engineering in Karunya University, Coimbatore. His current area His current area of research is in Wireless Networks.

Arul Xavier V. M., completed B.Tech in Information Technology from Velammal Engineering College, Madras University, Chennai. He obtained his M.E. in Computer Science and Engineering from Noorul Islam College of Engineering, Anna University. He is currently working as Assistant Professor in Karunya University, Coimbatore. Presently, he is doing Ph.D under Anna University, Coimbatore, and his areas of interest in research are Wireless Networks, distributed Computing and Grid Computing.